# Participation in transnational sustainability standard-setting: From discourse to practice The cases of RTRS and RSPO

Eve Fouilleux CNRS-CEPEL, CIRAD-MOISA, Montpellier (France)

Interdisciplinary Workshop INTERNORM "When civil society joins technical diplomacy: prospects and limits of participation in international standardization"

Lausanne, March 19, 2013

### Outline

- 1. Introduction: the emergence of private voluntary standards for soy and palm oil
- 2. The roundtables, inclusive and participatory multi-stakeholder devices
- 3. The roundtables in action: do the procedures fulfill their promises?
- 4. Conclusion

## Sustainability standards, third we wave of voluntary standards in the global agro-food sector

- 'Social movement' oriented standards
  - Organic farming, Fair Trade
  - Niche markets, 'B to C', labels











- Retailers' voluntary standards
  - GlobalGAP, IFS: Food safety as a core objective
  - 'B to B', mainstream
  - Non mandatory de jure but de facto → private 'public' policies

- · Sustainability standards for agricultural commodities
  - NGO industry complex (Gereffi et al)
  - Recent, but more and more commodities concerned
  - Multistakeholder participatory processes
    - → roundtables





- High demand, high prices
- Environmental and social effects: naming and shaming campains
- WWF, UNILEVER, Rabobank, IFC
- RSPO: 2004 PCI defined in 2007 (first certif. in 2008)
- RTRS: 2005 PCI defined in 2010

### About the approach

- Standards as policy instruments / regulation tools, resulting from a series of interactions among actors
  - Policy instruments as institutionalised ideas (visions of the world)
  - Result of a specific process of institutionalization = a process of progressive selection of ideas in a given institutional context
  - → +++ balance of power at stake among actors, on the repartition of discursive resources available,
- From RETHORIC to practice: the MS roundtables in action
  - How do the actors concretely interact in the decision-making process?
  - Influence on the decision process and output, i.e. the content of the standards?
- Methodology
  - Participatory observation in GA (Bali, Buenos Aires, Sao Paolo) and other meetings, Interviews
  - Period under study: 2007-2010

The roundtables, inclusive and participatory multistakeholder devices

### The roundtables: multistakeholder decision-making processes

#### Inclusiveness

- Producers, Banks, Traders, Processors, Industries, Retailers, environmental NGOs, social NGOs
- Open membership (variable fee)

#### Governance structure

- General Assembly of members
- Executive Board (including all categories of members)
- Executive Secretary

#### Decision-making process

- Voting rules (board elections)
- Seeking for decisions by consensus
- Betting on social learning and the virtues of deliberation

Seats per category  4 smallholders (1) 2 2 2 2 2 2
2 2 2 2
2 2
2
2
2
2
5
5

### Deliberation as a core feature of the roundtables

- A participative process based on deliberation and social learning
  - Specific technologies (world cafés, role playing games, etc.)
- A variety of inputs to the process
  - Contributions by participants in General Assemblies
  - Consultations on the internet
  - Decentralised participation : on-the-field workshops
- PCI: a process « facilitated » by a consultant
  - Proforest
  - A 'Development Group' for defining the PCIs
  - An iterative process between the DG, Proforest and other inputs

The roundtables in action: do the governance structure and procedures fulfill their promises?

### Who represent who and what? (1/3)

- Geographical representation
  - Missing countries, e.g. RTRS : USA, China?
  - Over-represented countries, e.g. The Netherlands
- Inter-category disparities
  - Number of members

### Number of members (2009)



•	Banks and investors	9
•	<b>Consumer Goods Manufacturers</b>	40
•	Envt /Nature Conservation NGO	11
•	Oil Palm Growers	70
•	Palm Oil Processors / Traders	100
•	Retailers	24
•	Social / development NGO 9	

RIRS
Roundtable on Responsible Soy

Producers 8
 Industry, trade, finance 42
 Civil society 12

TOTAL: 62 members + 16 'observing members'

TOTAL: 263 members + 95 affiliated

### Who represent who and what? (2/3)

- Geographical representation
  - Missing countries, e.g. RTRS: USA, China?
  - Over-represented countries, e.g. The Netherlands
- Inter-category
  - Number of members
- Intra-category: local/international NGOs
  - A process led by international NGOs
  - Legitimacy conflicts; E.g. Brazilian NGOs and RTRS
  - Financial dependency (Solidaridad / Fundapaz, Oxfam / Sawit Watch)





### Who represent who and what? (3/3)

- Geographical representation
  - Missing countries, e.g. RTRS: USA, China?
  - Over-represented countries, e.g. The Netherlands
- Inter-category
  - Number of members
- Intra-category: local/international NGOs
  - Legitimacy conflicts; E.g. Brazilian NGOs and RTRS
  - Financial dependency (Solidaridad / Fundapaz, Oxfam / Sawit Watch)
- Intra-category: smallholders' representation
  - Represented by the FELDA, OXFAM and a human rights NGO in RSPO (no trade unions)
  - Absence in RTRS, then 1, then 0 again.





### Explicit and implicit rules for deliberation

- Discursive capacities matter a great deal
  - Budgetary resources (travels, hotels)
  - Human resources
  - Analytical (expertise , internet access)
  - Social
  - Linguistic
  - Cultural
- A central stake : maintaining the compromise
  - Many 'out of the scope' questions
    - Industrial monoculture versus small family agriculture
    - · Palm oil: immigrated labor force
    - Soy: GM (a 'technology neutral' standard)
  - A rather partial qualification of sustainability

### Froms of protests depending on the social and political context

- RTRS: a highly controversial RT
  - Very active contestation since the beginning
  - Conflicts, esp. among European NGOs (wwf-foe, internal wwf)
  - Pressures by the outside affect insiders NGO first e.g.
     FUNDAPAZ left RTRS after the entrance of Monsanto
  - Not to be seen
- RSPO: a much more integrated form of contestation
  - Small producers taking the microphone
  - Banderoles inside

### Conclusion

- Lessons learned from the analysis of the roundtables 'in action'
  - There is a gap between discourse and practice
  - Deliberative and participative procedures do not automatically achieve deliberation and participation
  - Other visions of the world exist, and foster contestation, which takes different forms depending on the context
  - An informal repartition of tasks among outside/inside NGOs: 'revolutionary' versus 'pragmatic'
- · Paths for future research
  - A fascinating actor: the ISEAL Alliance
  - A highly professionalized community with an intense turnover: Consultants, Certifiers, Brokers, etc
  - Role of governments (national cooperation agencies, international organizations)

Thank you!