ORAL EXAM IN LINGUISTICS – ENGLISH DEPARTMENT (30 min preparation, then 7 min talk + 7 min debate per question)

	QUESTION 1 The student is able to:	QUESTION 2 The student is able to:	COMMUNICATION	INNACURACIES + FEEDBACK
C	address the question by elucidating its complexity.	address the question by elucidating its complexity.		
ITERIA (FO	define and explain the relevant theoretical notions/methods.	define and explain the relevant theoretical notions/methods.		
FUNDAMENTAL CRITERIA (FC) to get 4	refer to their reading list to back up their arguments and explanations (explicitly refer to the author, year of publication).	refer to their reading list to back up their arguments and explanations (explicitly refer to the author, year of publication).		
	provide examples from their reading list to back up their arguments and explanations.	provide examples from their reading list to back up their arguments and explanations.		
RITERIA (EC) than 4	connect the question to other topics (establish comparisons to highlight differences or similarities, refer to other theories covered in class, etc.).	connect the question to other topics (establish comparisons to highlight differences or similarities, refer to other theories covered in class, etc.).	express themselves clearly (no difficulty in understanding them).	
ENHANCEMENT CRITERIA (EC) to get more than 4	critically examine the question and fully engage in a discussion that goes beyond the readings (establish links to their own observations/class discussions/speculations, highlight bias induced by methodology, etc.).	critically examine the question and fully engage in a discussion that goes beyond the readings (establish links to their own observations/class discussions/speculations, highlight bias induced by methodology, etc.).	use Academic English.	

GRADING SCALE

1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6
1 FC	2 FC	3 FC	4 FC	5 FC	6-7 FC	8 FC	8 FC + 1 EC	8 FC + 2 EC	8 FC + 3-4 EC	8 FC + 5-6 EC

WRITTEN EXAM IN LINGUISTICS – ENGLISH DEPARTMENT (4h for BA / 6h for MA)

	CONTENT The student is able to:	FORM The student is able to:	COMMUNICATION	INNACURACIES + FEEDBACK
FUNDAMENTAL CRITERIA (FC) to get 4	 address the question, by elucidating its complexity. define and explain the relevant theoretical notions/methods. refer to their reading list to back up their arguments and explanations (explicitly refer to the author, year of publication). provide linguistic examples from their reading list to back up their arguments and explanations. 	 write a text that contains an introduction that contextualizes / problematizes the topic of the question and presents the outline of the text. write a cohesive text (use of connectors, academic expressions, etc. to signal specific argumentative moves). write a coherent text (the discussion is consistent and follows a structured and logical reasoning) write a conclusion that sums up the main arguments of the essay. 		
ENHANCEMENT CRITERIA (EC) to get more than 4	connect the question to other topics (establish comparisons to highlight differences or similarities, refer to other theories covered in class, etc.) critically examine the question and fully engage in a discussion that goes beyond the readings (establish links to their own observations/class discussions/speculations, highlight bias induced by methodology, etc.).	write concisely (i.e., go straight to the point, avoid lengthy explanations or repetitions; quality over quantity)	write in Academic English with few systematic grammatical errors.	

GRADING SCALE

1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6
1 FC	2 FC	3 FC	4 FC	5 FC	6-7	8 FC	8 FC + 1 EC	8 FC + 2 EC	8 FC + 3 EC	8 FC + 4 EC