

## MASTER IN ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE THESIS EVALUATION FORM

Student:

Thesis title:

Date:

Supervisor:

Signature:

Expert:

Signature:

Criteria	Grade (/6)	Comments
Research (40%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• organization</li> <li>• independence</li> <li>• originality</li> <li>• data acquisition</li> <li>• data analysis</li> <li>• ability to follow instructions</li> <li>• depth of knowledge in domain</li> <li>• overall work ethic</li> <li>• respect for deadlines</li> </ul>		
Written thesis (40%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• literature review</li> <li>• motivation of the problem</li> <li>• description of methods</li> <li>• presentation of results</li> <li>• data analysis and discussion</li> <li>• overall appearance and layout</li> <li>• illustrations and tables</li> <li>• bibliography</li> <li>• overall scientific content</li> </ul>		
Oral presentation (20%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• clarity of presentation</li> <li>• depth of knowledge is evident</li> <li>• quality of slides</li> <li>• respect for timing</li> <li>• responses to questions</li> </ul>		
FINAL GRADE (/6)		

## GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR INTERPRETATION OF THE FINAL GRADE

Final Grade (/6)	Category	Example Comments
6.0	Excellent	The work is excellent and goes beyond the general expectations for a Master's thesis. The research was carried out independently and the student has clearly demonstrated knowledge of the existing literature and solid understanding of the subject. The written thesis is of exceptional quality with scientific concepts clearly explained and a profound depth of analysis.
5.5	Very good	The thesis work is very good and was carried out in a highly logical and intelligent manner. The thesis document is clear, well structured, and very well written, and the student exhibits a solid command of the research domain. The work is completely consistent with the overall expectations for a Master's thesis.
4.5 – 5.0	Good	Overall, the thesis work was carried out well. However, the analysis lacks some depth and the student shows only partial command of the research domain. The thesis document is reasonably well structured but contains some errors which should be corrected.
4.0	Passable	The work is considered passable, but not more. The research is not sufficiently well developed and the student does not exhibit a good understanding of the research domain. The thesis text lacks structure and there are numerous errors meaning substantial revision is required.
3.0 and below	Insufficient	Overall, the Master's thesis work is not acceptable. The research subject is poorly defined, the work carried out was insufficient, and many errors are present. The thesis text is not at all well structured.